

Subj: Re: Re:
Date: 2/2/00 3:42:29 AM Central Standard Time
From: davidsereda@hotmail.com (David Sereda)
To: JamesOberg@aol.com

Dear Mr. Oberg; the best witness to the "Tether Incident" is physicist Franklin Chang-Diaz. He was the one who lied to the world as Houston Control operators asked the question, "Columbia, we see a long cigar like thing and a lot of things swimming in the foreground. Can you describe what you are seeing?" The alleged UFOs are passing behind the tether and not in front of it. Franklin Chang Diaz's answer was so blatantly a lie, "There is a lot of stray light. The sun is hitting at such low angles, its producing a lot of light and debris flying with us." When you see the tape, it is so clear that this is not debris. There are very advanced pulses and propulsions waves emanating from these massive craft. The physics of the pulses played in slow motion are astounding. I cannot tell you how astounding. They are bending light with beyond light speed gravity fluctuations which can actually be seen. Normally, we can not see gravity waves, ether, air, or magnetism. In this case, we can see pulses that have real structure to them. They have a three-phase pulse. Each pulse has a different configurative image. When using the pause button, we can see the images in the three-phase repeated pulse. The image reveals the most astounding propulsion system magnetic wave configurations.

Curiously, Franklin Chang-Diaz, who saw this live, has designed a new pulse-plasma propulsion system at NASA Johnson Space Flight Center. It is called VSIMR. I think he is reverse observation engineering his new technology based on what NASA saw that day: three mile wide craft pulsing in waves of gravity beyond light speed.

I can mathematically prove Gravity beyond light speed using a new theory in physics I have developed quite recently. I will present the theory soon.

>From: JamesOberg@aol.com
>To: davidsereda@hotmail.com
>Subject: Re: Re:
>Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2000 22:18:26 EST
>
>
>In a message dated 2/1/00 8:57:02 PM, you wrote:
>
><<If you enter a real debate with me, I promise, unless you know more than
>how
>to write an editorial or fool the Average undereducated American, I will
>beat you in any game of chess you want to play with this.>>
>
>I know real space flight and have the awards and certificates to prove it.
>That helps understand the videos I've seen.
>
> TW, in any of these marvelous cases you are excited about, did you talk to
>original witnesses, the astronauts and flight controllers?? I'd bet not –
>what's your excuse not to?